UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,975	03/01/2002	Yakov Kamen	007287.00037	9048
22907 BANNER & W	7590 10/28/200 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI		PENG, FRED H		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	0/087,975	KAMEN ET AL.	KAMEN ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		FR	ED PENG	2426				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY PRO	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Mo e the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 18 June :	2008					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		on is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		atters prosecution as to t	he merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in decordance with the process	oo andor Ex pe	1110 Quay10, 1000 C	.5. 11, 100 0.6. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-4,10-13,19-22 and 25-38	is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-4,10-13,19-22 and 25-38 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
-			d or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
<i>,</i> —	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,975 Page 2

Art Unit: 2426

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action regarding Statement of Common Ownership is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 10-13, 19-22 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saib et al (US 2001/0005905) in view of Griesau et al (US 7,324,168).

Regarding Claims 1, 10 and 19, Saib discloses an apparatus (FIG.2) with corresponding method and computer-readable medium (FIG.2, -37) comprising:

a processor (FIG.2, -29) having a memory (37) coupled thereto, the memory having stored thereon executable instructions which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:

receiving at a multimedia presentation device controller an indication of a depression of a channel selection button (FIG.3, 302);

receiving an indication of an ending of the depression of the channel selection button (304; decision making is based on indication of an ending);

evaluating a depression duration of the channel selection button (304; decision making is evaluating a depression duration); and

Art Unit: 2426

performing one of a plurality of functions associated with the channel selection button, wherein the function performed is based upon the depression duration (FIG.3, 306, 308, 310, 312; Para 6 lines 1-2; Para 32 lines 1-5; Para 28 lines 1-8).

Saib discloses a designated Jump button to perform the function based upon the depression duration but not a generic number button.

In an analogous art, Griesau discloses a key or a button in a universal remote controller can be programmed for more than a function (Col 2 lines 16-19, 54-58; Col 2 line 66 – Col 3 line 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Saib's system to include a numerical button to be programmed for additional functions, as taught by Griesau to program more functions in a generic key so an existing keypad layout can be used to reduce the cost.

Regarding Claims 2, 11 and 20, Saib further discloses evaluating the depression duration comprises:

determining depression of button; periodically incrementing a counter during the depression duration; and evaluating the counter value, upon termination of the depression of a button (Para 28 lines 1-3; Para 31 lines 6-10; Para 28 lines 5-7; Para 28 lines 8-12; The CPU acting as a computer function is inherent to perform the counter functionality and evaluate the value).

Regarding Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 21 and 22, Saib further discloses the plurality of functions affect a favorite channel list, wherein a plurality of channels favorites are positioned in the list non-sequentially (channel is randomly selected and is positioned non-sequentially), wherein the functions affecting the favorite channel list include one or more of accessing, updating, programming and last channel (Para 36 lines 7-10; Para 37 lines 1-3; Para 6 lines 5-13; Para 5 lines 2-6).

Regarding Claims 25, 26 and 27, Saib inherently discloses selection of channels based on the number keys from a remote control (press "3" button on the remote will select channel 3).

4. Claims 28, 33 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saib et al (US 2001/0005905) in view of Shaffer et al (US 7,181,027).

Regarding Claims 28, 33 and 38, Saib discloses a device controller (FIG.2) with corresponding method and computer-readable medium (FIG.2, -37) comprising:

a processor (FIG.2, -29) having a memory (37) coupled thereto, the memory having stored thereon executable instructions which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:

receiving an indication of a depression of a button on the device controller; receiving an indication of an ending of the depression of the button;

evaluating a depression duration of the button and classifying the depression duration into two ranges, wherein a first depression duration range is associated with a first function on the device controller, a second depression duration range is associated with a second function on the device controller, and performing the function associated with the depression duration range of the button on the device controller (FIG.3; Para 6 lines 1-2; Para 32 lines 1-5; Para 28 lines 1-8).

Saib discloses a duration threshold to determine a first and second functions but is silent about a third depression duration range is associated with a third function on the device controller.

In an analogous art, Shaffer discloses a first time duration threshold to detect a user's speech and a second time duration threshold, the third duration range to detect the end of the speech (FIG.4; Col 8 lines 59-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Saib's system to include a second time threshold, as taught by Shaffer to maximize the performance of the device.

5. Claims 29-30 and 34-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saib et al (US 2001/0005905) and Shaffer(US 7,181,027) as applied to claims 28 and 33 above, and further in view of Griesau et al (US 7,324,168).

Regarding Claims 29 and 34, Saib discloses defining a key functions based on the depress duration. Shaffer further discloses dividing more time durations with multiple thresholds to obtain more functions (FIG.2; Para 15). Griesau discloses a key or a button can be programmed to any function.

However, all of them do not specifically disclose a termination function or skip function.

The Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to skip the command of depress keys to avoid unintentional contact.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Saib, Shaffer and Griesau to include a skip function implemented in the keys so that unintended usage of important key functions can be prevented.

Regarding Claims 30 and 35, Saib and Shaffer are silent about programming specific keys like channel up or down buttons associated with a function for changing an operating mode of the multimedia presentation device.

In an analogous art, Griesau discloses programming specific keys like channel up or down buttons associated with a function for changing an operating mode of the multimedia presentation device (Col 6 lines 30-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Saib's system to include programming specific keys like channel up or down

buttons associated with a function for changing an operating mode of the multimedia presentation device, as taught by Griesau so additional function can be achieved with the same key.

6. Claims 31 and 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saib et al (US 2001/0005905) and Shaffer (US 7,181,027) as applied to claims 28 and 33 above, and further in view of Coleman et al (US 5,844,620).

Regarding Claims 31 and 36, Saib discloses more than one function can be implemented in a single button based on the depress duration. Saib further discloses a jump function to jump back to the previously viewed channels but not specifically about the latest channels.

In an analogous art, Coleman discloses a last channel button function allow viewers to view the latest ten viewed channels (Col 23 lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Saib and Shaffer to include viewing the last latest viewed channels, as taught by Coleman with a single key stroke to improve the overall reliability of the remote controller usage with less key strokes.

7. Claims 32 and 37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saib et al (US 2001/0005905) and Shaffer(US 7,181,027) as applied to claims 28 and 33 above, and further in view of Look et al (US 6,757,906).

Regarding Claims 32 and 37, Saib and Shaffer are silent about displaying a progress bar related to the depression duration.

In an analogous art, Look discloses a progress bar related to a program duration (FIG.26).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,975 Page 7

Art Unit: 2426

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify Saib's system to include a progress bar related to the process duration, as taught

by Look as an added convenient tool to guide the users.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to FRED PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1147. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

/Vivek Srivastava/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426